

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

Auto-Dimensions LLC, a Texas limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

V.

Siemens Product Lifecycle Management
Software, Inc., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Auto-Dimensions LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Auto-Dimensions"), submits its Complaint with Jury Demand against defendant Siemens Product Lifecycle Management Software, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Siemens PLM") and alleges against Defendant as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

1. Auto-Dimensions is a limited liability company existing under the laws of Texas with its principal place of business at 6136 Frisco Square Blvd., Suite 385, Frisco, TX 75034.

2. On information and belief, Defendant is a corporation existing under the laws of Delaware with a principal place of business at 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75024.

3. Upon further information and belief, Defendant offers products and services and also currently transacts business in the Tyler Division.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is a patent infringement action under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant has minimum contacts with the Eastern District of Texas such that this forum is a fair and reasonable one. Specifically, and also upon information and belief, Defendant has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in Texas that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be hauled into court as a future consequence of such activity. Also specifically and upon information and belief, Defendant has transacted and/or, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, is transacting business within the Eastern District of Texas. For these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant.

7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this action occurred in this judicial district. Defendant may be found in this judicial district and is subject to personal jurisdiction within this judicial district. Venue is also proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) as Defendant has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district. Venue is proper in this judicial district because, upon information and belief, Defendant conducts business in this judicial district.

III. FACTS

8. Auto-Dimensions is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to United States Patent No. 5,655,095 ("the '095 Patent"), entitled "Method and System for Design and Drafting." A true and correct copy of the '095 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

9. Independent Claim 1 of the '095 Patent reads:

1. A method for automatically choosing and then displaying a dimension indicator type for indicating the size of a geometric object for a user of a computer-aided design and drafting system, comprising the following steps which are performed automatically by the computer-aided design and drafting system:

(a) identifying one of a plurality of possible geometries as the geometry of a displayed geometric shape;

(b) choosing one of a plurality of possible dimension indicator types for the object based on the identification in step (a);

(c) displaying an image of the chosen dimension indicator until a placement location is selected for the chosen dimension indicator; and

(d) displaying the chosen dimension indicator type in the vicinity of the placement location.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware that the '095 Patent was valid and enforceable at least as early as 2008.

11. Specifically, Defendant is the assignee of United States Patent Application 10/104,045, Publication Number U.S. 20030179232 ("the '045 Application"). The prosecution history of the '045 Application shows that the United States Patent and Trademark Office Examiner listed United States Patent 5,929,856 ("the '856 Patent") as a reference cited and considered. The '856 Patent is a continuation of the '095 Patent in suit.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant has manufactured, made, had made, used, practiced, provided, supplied, distributed, sold, offered for sale, and/or provided support for Solid Edge and/or other Computer-Aided Design and Drafting ("CAD") products (the "Accused Products") that infringe one or more claims of the '095 Patent and/or Defendant is inducing and/or contributing to the infringement of one or more of the claims of the '095 Patent by others located in this judicial district, including, without limitation, claim 1.

IV. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Patent Infringement)

13. Auto-Dimensions incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

14. Defendant manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or services that infringe one or more claims of the '095 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), and/or is inducing direct infringement of the '095 Patent by others by actively instructing, assisting and/or encouraging others to practice one or more of the inventions claimed in the '095 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

15. Auto-Dimensions has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct. Defendant is thus liable to Auto-Dimensions in an amount that adequately compensates Auto-Dimensions for such infringement which cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

16. Defendant's acts of infringement have been willful, deliberate, and in reckless disregard of Auto-Dimensions' patent rights, and will continue unless permanently enjoined by this Court.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Auto-Dimensions requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Auto-Dimensions the following relief:

A. Judgment that one or more claims of the '095 Patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant and/or by others whose infringement was induced or contributed to by Defendant;

B. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, along with its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, and parents, from infringing, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '095 Patent;

C. Judgment that Defendant accounts for and pays to Auto-Dimensions all damages to and costs incurred by Auto-Dimensions because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein in an amount not less than a reasonable royalty;

D. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;

E. A judgment and order finding Defendant's infringement willful and awarding treble the amount of damages and losses sustained by Auto-Dimensions as a result of Defendant's infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

F. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances, including an award of enhanced damages and/or determining this to be an exceptional case pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding Auto-Dimensions its reasonable attorneys' fees.

VI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Auto-Dimensions hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 28, 2012

FERGUSON LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: /s/ John D. Fraser
Attorney-in-Charge
Ferguson Law Group, P.C.
State Bar No. 07393550
2500 Dallas Parkway, Suite 260
Plano, Texas 75093

Phone: 972.378.9111

Fax: 972.378.9115

E-Mail: jfraser@dallasbusinesslaw.com

Of Counsel:

Robert R. Brunelli (pending pro hac vice admission)
rbrunelli@sheridanross.com

John R. Posthumus (pending pro hac vice admission)
jposthumus@sheridanross.com

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

1560 Broadway, Suite 1200

Denver, Colorado 80202-5141

Telephone: 303-863-9700

Facsimile: 303-863-0223

E-mail: litigation@sheridanross.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF